

Original Article

Pressure dynamics of the esophagogastric junction at rest and during inspiratory maneuvers after Nissen fundoplication

Leonardo Adolpho Sá Sales,¹ Fernando Antônio Siqueira Pinheiro,² João Odilo Gonçalves Pinto,¹ Armênio Aguiar Santos,³ Miguel Ângelo Nobre Souza⁴

¹Walter Cantídio University Hospital, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil, ²Surgery Department, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil, ³Physiology and Pharmacology Department, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil, and ⁴ Clinical Medicine Department, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil

SUMMARY.Low sphincter pressure and inability of the crural diaphragm to elevate it at the esophagogastric junction are important pathophysiological mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The object of this study was to depict how Nissen fundoplication changed the resting and inspiratory pressures of the antireflux barrier. We selected 14 patients (eight males; mean age 42.7 years; mean body mass index 27.8) for surgery. They answered symptoms questionnaires and underwent high-resolution manometry (HRM) before and 6 months after Nissen fundoplication. We used a standard manometric protocol (resting and liquid swallows) and assessment of esophagogastric junction (EGJ) pressure metrics during standardized forced inspiratory maneuvers against increasing loads (Threshold Maneuvers). We used the Wilcoxon test for comparison of pre and postoperative data. After fundoplication, heartburn and regurgitation scores diminished remarkably (from 4.5 and 2, respectively, to zero; P = 0.002 and P = 0.0005, respective medians). Also, the median expiratory EGJ pressure had a significant increase from 8.1 to 18.1 mmHg (P = 0.002), while mean respiratory pressure and EGJ contractility integral (EGJ-CI) increased without statistical significance (P = 0.064 and P = 0.06, respectively). Axial EGJ displacement was lower after fundoplication. The EGJ relaxation pressure (P = 0.001), the mean distal esophageal intrabolus pressure (P = 0.01) and the distal latency (P = 0.017) increased after fundoplication. There was a reduction in the contraction front velocity (P = 0.043). During evaluation with standardized inspiratory maneuvers, the inspiratory EGJ pressures (under loads of 12, 24, 36 and 48 cmH₂O) were lower after surgery for all loads (median for load 12 cmH₂O: 145.6 vs. 102.7 mmHg; P = 0.004). Fundoplication and hiatal closure increased the expiratory EGJ pressure and promoted a great GERD symptom relief. The surgery seemed to overcompensate a reduced EGJ mobility and inspiratory pressure.

KEY WORDS: diaphragm, esophagogastric junction, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), high-resolution manometry, Nissen fundoplication.

INTRODUCTION

Email: mans@ufc.br

The pathophysiological mechanisms involved in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) may be explained by a dysfunction of the anti-reflux barrier at the esophagogastric junction (EGJ),¹ with the consequent appearance of symptoms and mucosal injury. Lower esophageal sphincter (LES) incompetence, functional deterioration of the LES and anatomical alterations at the EGJ were associated with long standing GERD.² The EGJ provides an efficient barrier in healthy people. The crural diaphragm (CD) and the tonic pressure of the LES are essential components of the anti-reflux barrier.³ Some studies have shown that a dysfunctional CD, which fails to increase the inspiratory EGJ pressure, was an independent predictor of GERD.^{4,5} Some patients with GERD have a lower inspiratory EGJ pressure that does not increase after inspiratory loads, suggesting a crural deficit.⁶ Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication is the gold-standard surgical procedure for the treatment of GERD, with a success rate of 90% in 5 years.⁷⁻¹⁰ The surgical technique involves a gastric wrap over the distal esophagus and a hiatal closure due to approximation of the crura branches. Therefore, fundoplication may alter the EGJ manometric dynamics. High-resolution manometry (HRM) can evaluate the EGJ for its functional (inspiratory/expiratory pressures, swallow relaxation) and morphological (LES-CD separation, EGJ

Address correspondence to: Miguel Angelo Nobre Souza, rua Prof. Costa Mendes, 1608, 4° andar, 60430140, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil.

axial mobility) competence. Manometric studies after Nissen fundoplication have not investigated, specifically the inspiratory aspect of the EGJ.¹¹

The aim of the study is to evaluate how Nissen fundoplication alters the HRM dynamics of the EGJ, more specifically, how it alters the inspiratory (diaphragmatic) component of its pressure profile.

METHODS

The authors studied 14 consecutive patients with GERD from the gastroesophageal surgery outpatient clinic of the University Hospital of the Federal University of Ceará. The patients were eligible for Nissen fundoplication¹² if they had no major esophageal motility disorders and GERD symptoms associated with pathological esophageal acid exposure time (AET). The patients had either typical symptoms with AET > 4% or atypical symptoms with abnormal acidification of the proximal esophagus or AET > 6%. The study was approved by the local ethical committee. All patients gave informed consent for study evaluation and diagnostic workup, and the investigators followed a defined study protocol. All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national) and the Declaration of Helsinki and later versions.

Study design

Subjects included in the study had a HRM evaluation before and 6 months after Nissen fundoplication. The parameters were computed and compared to preintervention values.

Symptoms questionnaires

All patients answered a standardized Reflux Disease Questionnaire (RDQ)¹³ at the time of the manometric studies, before and after fundoplication. The RDQ questionnaires consisted of six questions regarding typical GERD and dyspeptic symptoms. The symptoms were scored according to frequency and intensity. Therefore, each question was asked twice and scored from zero (no symptom) to 5. The maximal possible score was 60.

Operative technique

All the patients underwent laparoscopic Nissen-Rossetti fundoplication. The phrenoesophageal ligament around the EGJ was dissected. Any hiatal hernia was completely reduced, and the distal esophagus was freed after dissection along the edges of the right and left crura to get a sufficient length of intra-abdominal esophagus. The esophagus was extensively mobilized in the inferior mediastinum until it remained in the abdomen without tension. Hiatal closure was accomplished with three separate sutures with nonabsorbable thread. The anterior wall of the fundus was mobilized and passed behind the esophagus to make a 360-degree wrap around the EGJ. The left and right edges of the fundus were sutured with four separate non-absorbable sutures, avoiding excessive compression on the esophagus. The last suture included the esophageal muscular wall to avoid wrap slipping. Patients started on a liquid diet on the day of fundoplication and advanced to a soft diet over the next 2 weeks. Typical hospital stay was 1 day.

HRM protocol

The manometric study was performed with the patients in supine position, after an 8-hour fast. We used a solid-state HRM system (Given Imaging, Yokneam, Israel), with a 36-sensor probe. The transducers were calibrated from 0 to 300 mmHg. The protocol included 5 minutes of baseline recording (quiet breathing and swallow-free), 10 wet swallows of 5 mL of water and standardized inspiratory maneuvers under increasing loads (Threshold Maneuvers).

Before the inspiratory maneuvers, the probe was repositioned, if necessary, to have at least five distal pressure sensors in intragastric position. The patients were then instructed to perform a single forced inspiration, against increasing inspiratory resistances. A valved device commonly used to train the inspiratory muscles were used to give the inspiratory resistances (Threshold IMT; Philips Respironics, Andover, MA, USA).⁸ These resistances were set at increasing thresholds one at a time for each inspiratory load. This device consisted of a two-way valve with a diaphragm controlling the inspiratory airflow. A spring with adjustable compression (in cmH_2O) kept the diaphragm in place. The inspiratory airflow would only occur after the volunteer inspiratory effort surpassed a previously set threshold load determined by the spring compression. The inspiratory maneuver was repeated at inspiratory loads of 12, 24, 36 and 48 cmH₂O. All volunteers were trained in this maneuver well before the esophageal HRM probe placement.

Manometric analysis

Manometric analysis was performed with the aid of the Manoview Software (Given Imaging). The EGJ parameters included inspiratory pressure, expiratory pressure, mean inspiratory and expiratory pressure, EGJ contractility integral (EGJ-CI), axial EGJ displacement and relaxation pressure integral (IRP). The esophageal body metrics included distal latency (DL), contraction front velocity (CFV), distal contractility integral (DCI) and mean and maximum intrabolus pressure (IBPmean, IBPmax). The HRM metrics were analyzed in accordance with the Chicago 4.0 criteria.



Fig. 1 A typical inspiratory maneuver during esophageal HRM, under $12 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ load (TH12): A patient performing the maneuver (upperleft corner); a detail of the EGJ descent and pressure response and the definitions of the EGJ metrics during the maneuver (right); the pressure profile from the pharynx to the proximal stomach during the maneuver (central). The transdiaphragmatic pressure is IGP minus IEP. EGJ, esophagogastric junction; IEP, minimal intraesophageal pressure; IGP, maximal intragastric pressure; UES, upper esophageal sphincter.

Table 1 Demographics, esophageal acid exposure, esophagitis grade of the volunteers, hiatal hernia and manometric CD-LES separation before fundoplication.

| Gender | Age (year) | Weight (kg) | Height (cm) | BMI (kg/cm ²) | AET (%) | Esophagitis (LA) | HH (cm) | CD-LES (cm) |
|--------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|----------------|
| F | 57 | 61 | 144 | 29.5 | 10.7 | В | 0 | 0 |
| F | 26 | 63 | 156 | 25.9 | 5.4 | B | 2 | 0 |
| F | 32 | 69 | 153 | 29.5 | 15.3 | Ā | 3 | 1 |
| F | 62 | 62 | 148 | 28.3 | 12.7 | A | 4 | 3 |
| F | 38 | 67 | 155 | 27.9 | 8.1 | С | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| F | 55 | 80 | 155 | 33.3 | 6.2 | В | 5 | 3.9 |
| Μ | 43 | 88 | 172 | 29.8 | 10.3 | А | 0 | 0 |
| Μ | 44 | 73 | 172 | 24.0 | 8.9 | В | 3 | 0 |
| Μ | 45 | 72 | 170 | 24.9 | 18.6 | С | 3 | 0 |
| Μ | 47 | 80 | 160 | 31.3 | 8.6 | Barrett | 2.5 | 0 |
| Μ | 27 | 57 | 158 | 22.6 | 5.6 | В | 4 | 3 |
| Μ | 30 | 89 | 167 | 31.9 | 10.3 | С | 2 | 1.7 |
| Μ | 57 | 57 | 158 | 22.6 | 4.4 | А | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| Μ | 36 | 79 | 169 | 27.7 | 18.1 | А | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Mean | 42.8 | 71.1 | 159.8 | 27.8 | 10.9 | | | |
| SD | 11.8 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 3.4 | 4.5 | — | _ | — |

BMI, body mass index; CD-LES, crural diaphragm-lower esophageal sphincter separation on manometry; HH, hiatal hernia (endoscopy); LA, Los Angeles classification; SD, standard deviation.

Inspiratory EGJ metrics were further obtained during the standardized inspiratory maneuvers. During each inspiratory load, the maximal inspiratory EGJ pressure and the maximal axial EGJ displacement were measured. Since the respiratory maneuvers consisted of a single inspiration, we also defined a composite metric, like the EGJ-CI, given in mmHg \times cm (EGJ Total Activity), as the product of the maximal inspiratory EGJ pressure by the maximal axial EGJ displacement during each maneuver. Each inspiratory load generated its own set of variables. Figure 1 shows a typical 12cmH₂O Threshold Maneuver and details how the EGJ variables were figured out.

Statistical analysis

The data were expressed as medians and minimum/maximum. The continuous variables of HRM were compared using the Wilcoxon Test for paired samples. For this statistical test, P < 0.05 was considered significant. Demographic variables were described as mean and standard deviation.

RESULTS

Demographics

The volunteers consisted of eight male and six female patients. The mean age was 42.7 ± 11.8 years, ranging

Table 2 Scores of each individual item of the RDQ before and after Nissen fundoplication, according to frequency or intensity of symptoms.

| Questions | Before Nissen Median (min; max) | After Nissen Median (min; max) | P value |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Frequency based score | | | |
| A burning feeling behind your breastbone | 4.5 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 2) | 0.002 |
| Pain behind your breastbone | 0 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 2) | 0.513 |
| A burning feeling in the center of the upper stomach | 1 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 2) | 0.0103 |
| A pain feeling in the center of the upper stomach | 0 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 5) | 0.3720 |
| An acid taste in your mouth | 4 (0; 5) | 0(0;1) | 0.0008 |
| Unpleasant movement of material upwards from the stomach | 2 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 0) | 0.0005 |
| Intensity based score | | | |
| A burning feeling behind your breastbone | 3 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 2) | 0.0003 |
| Pain behind your breastbone | 0 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 3) | 0.5130 |
| A burning feeling in the center of the upper stomach | 1 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 3) | 0.5400 |
| A pain feeling in the center of the upper stomach | 0 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 4) | 0.2815 |
| An acid taste in your mouth | 3 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 3) | 0.0030 |
| Unpleasant movement of material upwards from the stomach | 3 (0; 5) | 0 (0; 0) | 0.0002 |

 Table 3
 Baseline variables of the esophagogastric junction assessed before and after Nissen fundoplication.

| Variables | Before Nissen Median (min; max) | After Nissen Median (min; max) | <i>P</i> value |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Axial displacement (cm) | 4.85 (2.5; 6.4) | 3.9 (2.7; 5.7) | 0.238 |
| Inspiratory pressure (mmHg) | 39.5 (18.8; 67.9) | 49.4 (19.9; 53.3) | 0.272 |
| Expiratory pressure (mmHg) | 8.1 (3.8: 18.1) | 13.0 (7.6: 24) | 0.002 |
| Mean pressure (mmHg) | 17.5 (6.5; 27) | 20.7 (15.4; 30.6) | 0.060 |
| ECJ-CI (mmHg x cm) | 27.1 (8.5; 41) | 36.7 (14.9; 75.5) | 0.064 |

Mean pressure: inspiratory and expiratory EGJ pressure; EGJ-CI, EGJ contractility integral.

from 23 to 62 years. The mean body mass index (BMI) was $27.8 \pm 3.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$, ranging from 22.6 to 33.3 kg/m^2 . All volunteers had typical GERD and were on long-term PPI to control their symptoms. According to Los Angeles classification, five patients had grade A, five had grade B, three had grade C and one patient had Barrett esophagus, without dysplasia. Preoperatively, all patients had AET > 4% on the 24-hour pHmetry examination (mean $10.2\% \pm 4.5\%$, with a range of 4.4-18.6%). Eight volunteers had a manometric separation of the LES and crura before surgery. After fundoplication, one patient had a 1-cm LES-crura separation (before surgery: 3.8 cm) and all the others had a complete LES-crura superposition (Table 1).

Symptom improvement

Heartburn and regurgitation scores were significantly lower after Nissen fundoplication. Dyspepsia scores were low before fundoplication and did not change significantly after the surgery (Table 2).

Comparison of the baseline esophagogastric junction pressure profile before and after Nissen fundoplication

The baseline pressures were recorded during quiet breathing and no swallowing (resting). The recordings were compared before and after the surgical procedure (Table 3). There was a statistically significant increase in the expiratory EGJ pressure after fundoplication (P = 0.002), but there was no significant difference in inspiratory EGJ pressure (Figure 2). The mean (inspiratory and expiratory) EGJ pressure and the EGJ contractility integral (EGJ-CI) had a non-significant postoperative increase (P = 0.064 and P = 0.060, respectively). The aboral axial displacement of the EGJ was similar before and after Nissen fundoplication (P = 0.238).

Comparison of the esophageal motility profile during wet swallowing, before and after Nissen fundoplication

The IRP was significantly higher in patients after Nissen (P = 0.001). The median intrabolus pressure (IBP) also increased after fundoplication (P = 0.01). No difference in the median DCI pre and postoperatively was observed in the present study (P = 0.77). The distal latency (DL) was higher in patients after fundoplication (P = 0.01). A reduction in CFV was also observed after Nissen fundoplication (P = 0.043). All values were within the normal range after fundoplication (Table 4).

Comparison of the esophagogastric junction pressure profile during forced inspiration under respiratory load (Threshold Maneuver), before and after Nissen fundoplication

The median of the axial displacements of the EGJ were lower after Nissen fundoplication, for all



Fig. 2 The expiratory EGJ pressure improved significantly after Nissen fundoplication. On the other hand, the axial, aboral inspiratory displacement of the EGJ high-pressure zone and the inspiratory EGJ pressure did not change after Nissen fundoplication. Here, inspiration occurred without load.

| Variables | Before Nissen Median (min; max) | After Nissen Median (min; max) | <i>P</i> value |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| DCI mean (mmHg.s.cm) | 759.2 (231.6: 3039.9) | 774.1 (276.7: 2699.6) | 0.778 |
| IRP (mmHg) | 6.55 (1.8; 11.8) | 12.8 (7.0; 17.9) | 0.001 |
| CFV (cm/s) | 3.85 (1.7; 5.1) | 2.9 (1.9; 4.7) | 0.043 |
| DL (s) | 5.7 (4.7; 10.6) | 6.8 (5.2; 8.8) | 0.017 |
| IBP mean (mmHg) | 0.7(-3.7;5) | 4.0(-0.1; 9.9) | 0.012 |
| IBP max (mmHg) | 10.1 (2.4; 21.1) | 11.2 (3.5; 52.2) | 0.638 |

HRM, high-resolution manometry; CFV, contraction front velocity; DCI, distal contractility integral; DL, distal latency; IBPmean, IBPmax, intrabolus pressure mean and maximum; IRP, relaxation pressure integral.

respiratory loads, significant with the highest load (12 cmH₂O, P = 0.11; 24 cmH₂O, P = 0.069; 36 cmH₂O, P = 0.075; 48 cmH₂O, P = 0.017). Another finding was that the median maximal inspiratory pressure was lower after fundoplication. This reduction was statistically significant for all loads (12 cmH₂O, P = 0.004; 24 cmH₂O, P = 0.004; 36 cmH₂O, P = 0.01; 48 cmH₂O, P = 0.01). We also measured the product (maximal inspiratory pressure) x (axial displacement), given in mmHg × cm, called EGJ Total Activity, as a composite metric related to the EGJ-CI but figured out over a single inspiration. This was significantly lower after surgery for all loads (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication¹³ has become the gold-standard procedure for the surgical treatment of GERD. Careful patient selection and well-established technical concepts of mechanical sphincter augmentation can provide satisfying results in most patients with severe GERD.¹⁴ Nissen fundoplication has three important principles in its execution: abdominalization of the LES, confection of a valve mechanism using the gastric fundus and closure of the diaphragmatic pillars with consequent narrowing of the diaphragmatic hiatus. All may have a direct impact on the baseline pressure pattern of the EGJ. This work brings news information regarding the inspiratory EGJ pressure. At first glance, one would imagine that fundoplication and hiatal closure would increase the EGJ pressure during inspiration under resistance. Below, we discuss why this may not be true.

After the fundoplication, the gastric fundus wrap around the LES, in theory, maintains a tonic pressure component over the LES. The abdominalization of the esophagus brings the EGJ into a higherpressure zone, compared to the thorax. Considering the principle of the pressure gradient between the abdominal and thoracic cavities,¹⁵ keeping the EGJ in the abdomen contributes to the anti-reflux barrier by increasing its basal pressure tonus, which is higher in the abdomen. The contraction of the diaphragmatic pillars contributes to the cyclic increase of the intraluminal EGJ pressure during the inspiratory phase of respiration. It is one of the main elements contributing to the overall basal EGJ pressure. Also, when it fails to raise the inspiratory EGJ pressure, it can be an important independent predictor of GERD.4,5 The effectiveness of the surgical procedure was evident in this study. The symptom improvement was remarkable, similarly to other authors^{10,16} and the expiratory EGJ pressure significantly increased to normal values.¹¹ It is well known that esophageal acid exposure significantly decreases even after many years of an effective fundoplication.¹⁰ Therefore, we did not include reflux monitoring after the surgery as a study goal.

During swallowing, the IRP and mean IBP values had a significant increase, corroborating previous studies.^{7,17} These measurements denoted a relative difficulty in the passage of the bolus through the EGJ. If there was an increase in the basal pressure profile of the EGJ, there would be a greater difficulty for the bolus to pass through it and an increase in the IRP

Table 5 Esophagogastric junction inspiratory variables assessed during the Threshold Maneuver protocol (inspiration under increasing inspiratory loads), before and after Nissen fundoplication

| Load (cmH ₂ O) | Variables | Before Nissen Median (min; max) | After Nissen Median (min; max) | P value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | Inspiratory pressure | 145.6 (86.2; 268.1) | 102.7 (58.7; 132.0) | 0.004 |
| 12 | Axial displacement | 5.8 (2.8; 8.9) | 5.3 (3.0; 6.8) | 0.116 |
| | EGJ total activity | 784.2 (470.8; 1957.1) | 530.6 (275.9; 749.3) | 0.009 |
| | Inspiratory pressure | 134.8 (59.7; 273.3) | 98.7 (23.1; 130.8) | 0.004 |
| 24 | Axial displacement | 5.7 (3.4; 9.9) | 5.0 (2.8; 7.3) | 0.069 |
| | EGJ total activity | 794.8 (203.0; 1694.5) | 485.0 (120.1; 661.5) | 0.004 |
| | Inspiratory pressure | 130.6 (52.0; 255.5) | 91.6 (47.9; 132.0) | 0.011 |
| 36 | Axial displacement | 6.0 (2.7; 10.4) | 4.9 (2.7; 6.1) | 0.075 |
| | EGJ total activity | 736.3 (140.4; 1839.6) | 466.8 (131.5; 739.2) | 0.004 |
| | Inspiratory pressure | 129.7 (52.4; 249.0) | 97.9 (44.3; 137.1) | 0.011 |
| 48 | Axial displacement | 5.7 (2.8; 9.5) | 4.5 (1.8; 6.5) | 0.017 |
| | EGJ total activity | 842.1 (214.8; 1817.7) | 393.2 (99.5; 788.4) | 0.006 |

The parameters measured during the respiratory maneuvers were all inspiratory, under increasing resistances of 12, 24, 36 and 48 cmH₂O (inspiratory pressure in mmHg, axial displacement in cm and EGJ total activity in mmHg \times cm).

and IBP values. However, these values varied within the normal range. CVF diminished and DL increased significantly after fundoplication. This implied that the surgery had a direct effect on the motility pattern of the distal esophagus, mainly by changing the velocity of the contraction wave propagation. Unlike other publications,^{4,13} we did not observe a significant increase in the contraction vigor of the esophageal body (DCI). These publications suggested that the creation of a high-pressure zone at the level of the EGJ would favor an increase in the distal esophageal contractility for the swallowed contents to overcome the created barrier. However, in our study this effect did not occur.

This study shed light on the inspiratory EGJ pressure after Nissen fundoplication, both at rest breathing and during inspirations under increasing loads. The inspiratory pressure during breathing at rest slightly increased after fundoplication, but it was not statistically significant. When forced inspirations under increasing loads (Threshold Maneuvers) were carried out, requiring a greater diaphragmatic work, the inspiratory EGJ pressure was lower after fundoplication. Possibly, the contraction of the sutured diaphragm had a lower capacity to elevate the dynamic extrinsic pressure of the EGJ in forced inspiration. However, the procedure could maintain the static pinchcock pressure effect after surgery.

The exact mechanisms of the lower inspiratory pressure after fundoplication are not known. One possible reason lies in the contraction vector of the CD. The diaphragmatic pillars are inserted in the spine caudal to the EGJ, causing their contraction and consequent action on the distal esophagus to occur in the antero-posterior-caudal direction. The sutures applied to the pillars are perpendicular to its contraction movement, which could lead to a reduction in the contractile effectiveness of the CD, unveiled by the inspiratory maneuvers with increasing loads (Figures 3, 4). In this situation, the effective



Fig. 3 This picture shows the CD before hiatoplasty. The arrows indicate the contraction vectors of the crural branches of the right diaphragmatic pillar. During forced inspiration (Threshold Maneuver), the entire contractile muscle mass contributes to inspiratory EGJ pressure.



Fig. 4 This picture shows the CD after hiatoplasty. The arrows indicate the contraction vectors interrupted and segmented by the suture of the crura. The effective contractile muscle mass around the EGJ is smaller, which would partly justify the lower pressure during forced inspiration (threshold maneuvers).

contractile muscle mass of the CD would be smaller. Moreover, fibrosis caused by healing of the pillar sutures is also an element that could hamper muscle activity, limiting the contractile activity of the CD on the EGJ. However, even with the achievement of lower-pressure elevations during forced inspiratory maneuvers after Nissen fundoplication, the plicated pillars and the overall procedure maintained the basal pressure over the EGJ within the normal range after the surgery.

The hiatal closure stitches involved only part of the thicknesses of the CD branches. Consequently, other factors may also contribute to the diminished inspiratory EGJ pressure after fundoplication. Indeed, the axial displacement of the EGJ, significant at a maximal diaphragmatic work, was lower after fundoplication, both during normal breathing and forced inspiration under load (Threshold Maneuvers). This showed that the procedure tended to make the EGJ more fixed, reducing its movement below the diaphragmatic hiatus. This was desired, since a more fixed EGJ in the abdomen would prevent it from sliding into the thorax. Another explanation for the lower movement of the EGJ would be that the valve served as a bulkhead, contained by the reduced hiatus diameter. The fundoplication bulk could also impede the aboral EGJ displacement. This movement restriction could partly explain the decrease in the inspiratory EGJ pressures after fundoplication. These phenomena and its relation to the EGJ pressure profile have not been described previously.

The evaluation of the CD after Nissen fundoplication was highlighted in this study. The Nissen procedure is highly effective even after partially blocking the aboral displacement of the EGJ. The pinchcock effect of the fixed wrap and the hiatal closure overcompensated any deficit of the crura contraction. Indeed, the inspiratory EGJ displacement has not been sufficiently studied neither in physiological nor in disease conditions. Respiratory maneuvers, HRM and advanced imaging analysis, like segmentation, may add to the understanding of the phenomenon depicted in this study.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication was successful in controlling GERD symptoms and increasing the resting expiratory and the mean pressures of the EGJ. The distal latency increased, and the front contraction velocity diminished, indicating a possible improvement of the esophageal peristalsis after fundoplication. After fundoplication, there was a limitation of the craniocaudal displacement of the EGJ during inspiration. During inspiration under increasing loads (Threshold Maneuvers), there was a lower capacity of the inspiratory EGJ pressure to rise, possibly due to the sutured crura and the fundoplication bulk around the EGJ. However, the surgery improved the overall pressure of the EGJ. This was mainly due to its static action on the anti-reflux barrier.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Made substantial contributions to conception and design, and/or acquisition of data, and/or analysis and interpretation of data: Leonardo Adolpho Sá Sales, Miguel Ângelo Nobre Souza, Fernando Antônio Siqueira Pinheiro, João Odilo Gonçalves Pinto.

Participated in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content: Leonardo Adolpho Sá Sales, Miguel Angelo Nobre Souza, Fernando Antônio Siqueira Pinheiro, João Odilo Gonçalves Pinto, Armênio Aguiar Santos.

Gave final approval of the version to be submitted and any revised version to be published: Leonardo Adolpho Sá Sales, Miguel Angelo Nobre Souza, Fernando Antônio Siqueira Pinheiro, João Odilo Gonçalves Pinto, Armênio Aguiar Santos.

FUNDING

This project was funded by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The raw data is available to the editors in datasheet format upon request.

CREDIT AUTHOR STATEMENT

Leonardo Adolpho Sales (Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft), Fernando Antônio Pinheiro (Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing—review & editing), João Odilo Pinto (Methodology, Writing—review & editing), Armênio Santos (Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing—review & editing), Miguel Angelo Souza (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing)

References

- Lin S, Li H, Fang X. Esophageal motor dysfunctions in gastroesophageal reflux disease and therapeutic perspectives. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2019; 25(4): 499–507.
- 2. Fuchs K H, Demeester T R, Otte F *et al.* Severity of GERD and disease progression. Dis Esophagus 2021; 34(10): doab006. https://doi.org/10.1093/dote/doab006.
- Mittal R K, Rochester D F, Mccallum R W. Electrical and mechanical activity in the human lower esophageal sphincter during diaphragmatic contraction. J Clin Invest 1988; 81(4): 1182–9.
- 4. Pandolfino J E, Kim H, Ghosh S K, Clarke J O, Zhang Q, Kahrilas P J. High-resolution manometry of the EGJ: an analy-

sis of crural diaphragm function in GERD. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102(5): 1056–63.

- Nobre e Souza M A, Lima M J, Martins G B et al. Inspiratory muscle training improves antireflux barrier in GERD patients. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2013; 305(11): G862–7. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00054.2013.
- Souza M A N, Nobre R A, Bezerra P C, Santos A A, Sifrim D. Anatomical and functional deficiencies of the crural diaphragm in patients with esophagitis. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017; 29(1): e12899–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/ nmo.12899.
- Scheffer R C H, Samson M, Haverkamp A, Oors J, Hebbard G S, Gooszen H G. Impaired bolus transit across the esophagogastric junction in post fundoplication dysphagia. Am J Gastroenterol 2005; 100(8): 1677–84.
- Marjoux S, Roman S, Juget-Pietu F *et al.* Impaired postoperative EGJ relaxation as determinant of post laparoscopic fundoplication dysphagia: a study with high-resolution manometry before and after surgery. Surg Endosc 2012; 26(12): 3642–9.
- Goldani H A, Staiano A, Borrelli O, Thapar N, Lindley K J. Pediatric esophageal high-resolution manometry: utility of a standardized protocol and size-adjusted pressure topography parameters. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105(2): 460–7.
- Ribeiro M C B, Araújo A B, Terra-Júnior J A, Crema E, Andreollo N A. Late evaluation of patients operated for

gastroesophageal reflux disease by Nissen fundoplication. ABCD Arq Bras Cir Dig 2016; 29(3): 131–4.

- 11. Weijenborg P W, Savarino E, Kessing B F *et al.* Normal values of esophageal motility after antireflux surgery; a study using high-resolution manometry. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015; 27(7): 929–35.
- Kahrilas P J, Bredenoord A J, Fox M et al. The Chicago Classification of Esophageal Motility Disorders, v3.0. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015; 27(2): 160–74.
- Dallemagne B, Weerts J M, Jehaes C, Markiewicz S, Lombard R. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: preliminary report. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1991; 1(3): 138–43.
- Fuchs K H, Breithaupt W, Varga G, Babic B, Schultz T, Meining A. Primary laparoscopic fundoplication in selected patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Dis Esophagus 2022; 35(1): 1–8.
- 15. Herbella F A, Patti M G. Gastroesophageal reflux disease: from pathophysiology to treatment. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16(30): 3745–9.
- 16. Ross S B, Gal S, Teta A F *et al.* Late results after laparoscopic fundoplication denote durable symptomatic relief of gastroe-sophageal reflux disease. Am J Surg 2013; 206(1): 47–51.
- Rerych K, Kurek J, Klimacka-Nawrot E, Błońska-Fajfrowska B, Stadnicki A. High-resolution manometry in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease before and after fundoplication. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017; 23(1): 55–63.